GREER, BURNS & CRAIN, LTD

#14 Responsi

1201.63407

PATENT APPLICATION

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In Re U.S. Patent Application) I hereby certify that this paper is being facsimile) transmitted to the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office on	
Applicant:	Nayfeh et al.	this date.	atent and Trademark Office on
Serial No.	09/496,506) Any 26,2002 Registr	Ls B R ation No. 43,874
Filed:	February 2, 2000) Appr. April 11, 2000 Attorne	
FIEL	CON NANOPARTICLE D EFFECT TRANSISTO TRANSISTOR MEMO ICE	OR)	
Art Unit:	2811	į	
Examiner:	Crane, S.)	FAX COPY RECEIVED AUG 2:6 2002
		RESPONSE B	TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800

Assistant Commissioner for Patents Washington, D.C. 20231

Dear Sir:

In response to the Office Action mailed June 4, 2002, which has been made final, please consider the following remarks.

<u>REMARKS</u>

Claim 4 stands rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite. Particularly, the Examiner finds the term "energy spacing" unclear. Applicants respectfully traverse the rejection. The Office Action states that "the claim says that the